

Policy

Prudential Management Policy

Classification	Council Policy

Responsible Officer Chief Executive Officer

Relevant Legislation Local Government Act 1999

Related Policies Disposal of Land and Assets Policy

Procurement Policy

Risk Management Policy

Council Resolution Number CNC99/23-24

Approval Date 20/11/2023 **First Approved** 20/11/2023

Last Reviewed N/A Next Review 1/10/2027

1.	Policy Principle	1
2.	Policy Objective	1
3.	General Definitions	1
4.	Project Definition	1
5 .	Policy Detail	2
6.	Availability of Policy	5
7.	Review	5



1. Policy Principle

The Town of Walkerville strives to make prudent decisions and will undertake appropriate due diligence on its projects to get the best possible outcomes for the community.

2. Policy Objective

- To ensure major Council projects are undertaken only after an appropriate level of due diligence is applied to the proposal;
- To ensure that each major Council project is well managed during the project and appropriately evaluated after the project;
- To comply with Section 48(aa1) of the Local Government Act 1999 (the Act).

3. General Definitions

СРІ	Means the Consumer Price Index (All Groups Index for Adelaide) published by the Australian Bureau of Statistics.		
Due diligence	Is the conduct of a systematic review of a transaction prior to entering into that transaction.		
Exclusions	Road construction or maintenance; or drainage works (stormwater drainage).		

4. Project Definition

A project may be defined as

"a new and discrete undertaking or activity that would involve the expenditure of money, deployment of resources, incurring or assuming a liability, or accepting an asset"

This should not be interpreted to mean that all Council activities are "projects". Regular, ongoing deliveries of Council services are not "new and discrete" activities so therefore are not included within this definition. A project is a temporary endeavour with a defined beginning and end. The temporary nature of projects stands in contrast to business as usual (or operations) which are repetitive, ongoing functional activities to provide services.

Simply purchasing an item of plant or equipment, (e.g. a single vehicle) or a parcel of land will constitute a "project" if the purchase is not part of a wider project or part of ongoing operations. Any purchase must comply with Council's Procurement Policy. However, a "project" will typically involve more than merely purchasing. It will always involve council staff time, often in undertaking activities in association with other organisations. On the other hand, a project need not entail any expenditure. It may include, for example, receiving land or other assets for free, or granting permission for a private activity on council land.

All projects should be considered in the context of not only this policy, but also Council's Risk Management Plan.

5. Policy Detail

Prudential management aims to foresee what adverse financial consequences might arise from any Council project. It requires managing the project in a manner that captures the proposed benefits and minimises, offsets and takes account of the foreseeable financial risks.

5.1. Deciding upon an appropriate level of due diligence

Any proposed project must first be assessed as to the level of due diligence that is required. When approval is being sought for a specific project, information must be provided to the correct delegated authority to approve the project which may be Council, the CEO or other officers with delegated authority to make such approval.

This information will include:

- the specific benefits or needs to be addressed;
- the extent to which it may be substantially similar to other past projects;
- the expected whole-of-life costs of the project; and
- levels of financial risk that may be involved.

5.2. Two threshold questions

The delegated authority will make an evaluation as to the extent of due diligence that must be undertaken before a decision is made whether to proceed with the proposed project. This is the threshold due diligence test. It must be ascertained:

- whether funding of the whole-of-life costs of the proposed project will (or might) require additional allocations beyond those already accommodated in Council's long-term financial plan and budget; and
- whether the proposed project will generate any additional financial (or other) risk for the Council.

If the delegated authority is unsure of these answers, a second step will be required, such as a 'Due Diligence Report'.

5.3. Due Diligence Report

If there is any uncertainty, the delegated authority must request preparation of a Due Diligence Report ("DDR"). There are three levels of due diligence reporting available (basic, comprehensive or feasibility), along with the Prudential report that may be required under Section 48 the Act. The type of report a responsible officer must provide is determined as follows:

Financial Risk Including upfront & ongoing costs (whole of life) Likelihood of financial risk occurring	Minor Financial risk \$50-\$100,000	Moderate Financial risk \$100- 250,000	Major Financial risk \$250- \$500,000	Serious Financial risk \$500,000 to the indexed amount as per section 48 of the Act	Section 48 Financial risk greater than the threshold as per section 48 of the Act
Almost Certain	Basic	Comprehensive	Project Feasibility	Project Feasibility	Prudential Report
Likely	Basic	Comprehensive	Comprehensive	Project Feasibility	Prudential Report
Possible	Basic	Basic	Comprehensive	Comprehensive	Prudential Report
Unlikely	Basic	Basic	Basic	Comprehensive	Prudential Report
Rare	Basic	Basic	Basic	Basic	Prudential Report

A Basic DDR is required for smaller projects, or those with a lower likelihood of financial risk occurring; a Comprehensive DDR will be required for some larger projects with more likelihood of financial risk; and a Feasibility DDR is required for larger projects with greater financial risks. All DDR's will include estimated income and expenditure for the activity for the first five years and will be presented to a meeting of the Management Executive Team.

A Prudential Report must be prepared for Council for large projects where the expected capital or operating expenses calculated on an accrual basis of the Council over the ensuing five years is likely to exceed 20 percent of Council's average annual operating expenses over the previous five financial years (as shown in the Council's annual financial statements); or

Where the expected capital cost of the project over the ensuing five year is likely to exceed \$4,000,000 (indexed).

In line with Section 48 (6d) of the Act, \$4,000,000 (indexed) means that the amount is to be justified for the purposes of this section on 1 January of each year, starting on 1 January 2011, by multiplying the amount by a proportion obtained by dividing the CPI for the September quarter of the immediately preceding year by the CPI for the September quarter, 2009. Below is an example of how this indexed amount is calculated as at 1 January 2023:

> September 2009 CPI index 93.7 September 2022 CPI index 128.6

Proportion 1.37 (128.6/93.7)

\$5,489,861 (4,000,000 X Indexed amount as at 1 January

1.37)

A report under section 48 of the Act will be regarded as the highest-level, most thorough type of DDR for the purposes of this Policy. A full prudential report may also be commissioned under section 48 of the Act, for any other project for which the Council considers that it is necessary or appropriate.

If a full prudential report is not sought, the decision maker will record their reasons for not obtaining such a report. This might be satisfied simply by noting (if appropriate) that the proposed project has been assessed under the threshold questions of this policy, or under a DDR indicating a low or negligible financial risk.

In the event of an emergency or business interruption scenario, consideration for community and staff safety and wellbeing may require a level of financial commitment to be made without seeking a full prudential report. When business as usual circumstances are restored, such decisions will be reviewed by the Chief Executive Officer.

5.4. Due diligence before a decision on whether to proceed

Depending upon the extent of due diligence required by the delegated authority, a DDR of greater or lesser detail will be prepared. All DDRs will include, in relation to the proposed project:

- an analysis of the need or demand;
- identification and quantification of the expected financial and other benefit;
- identification and quantification of the likely whole-of-life financial and other costs, including staffing and project management costs;
- assessment of the associated financial risks, (including the financial risks of not proceeding or delaying the project) and consideration of ways they can be managed and/or mitigated; and
- an evaluation that weighs up all of the factors above.

Basic DDR

For small projects with least financial risk, the DDR may comprise only a single page and may be prepared by a single staff member.

Comprehensive DDR

Larger, more complicated and/or financially riskier projects will require a comprehensive DDR containing correspondingly more information and assessment, as required by the decision-maker, with input from two or more officers. This may include information and input from a working party of Council officers, or an external consultant, or a combination of both. Consideration will be given to whether those preparing a DDR require special skills such as engineering, finance, banking, town planning etc.

Project Feasibility DDR

Any project that falls within the realm of PF, a DDR must also include a project feasibility study, to provide a high-level consideration of the expected costs and revenues over the life of the project, using discounted cash-flow analysis. One important aspect that will be considered in such a study is the reliability of these costs and revenues within these calculations, particularly if revenues are dependent on future market conditions.

5.5. Due diligence during a project

After a decision has been made to commence a project, the responsible officer will take action to manage the project so that:

- the project remains focused upon the expected public benefits or needs that have been identified in the DDR; and
- financial risks identified in the DDR are managed appropriately.

5.6. Due diligence after a project

After a project has been completed, it will be evaluated, according to the principles of due diligence, to determine the extent to which the project:

- achieved the benefits or needs that it was intended to achieve or satisfy (as identified in the DDR); and
- avoided or mitigated the financial risks identified in the DDR.

A brief project evaluation report will be prepared and reported to Council.

6. Availability of Policy

- 6.1. This Policy will be available for inspection at Council's principal office during ordinary business hours and on Council's website www.walkerville.sa.gov.au
- 6.2. Copies will also be provided to interested members of the community upon request and payment of associated fees in accordance with Council's schedule of fees and charges.

7. Review

This Policy shall be reviewed every 48 months, or more frequently if required by legislation or Council. No revision or amendment of this Policy will affect any process that has already commenced.